

**The United States against
Russia. Whether everything
is so obvious here?**

Nizovtsev Y.M.



Юрий Низовцев

**The United States against
Russia. Whether everything
is so obvious here?**

«ЛитРес: Самиздат»

2017

Низовцев Ю. М.

The United States against Russia. Whether everything is so obvious here? / Ю. М. Низовцев — «ЛитРес: Самиздат», 2017

Whose will take in opposition of Russia and the USA? Weak Russia nowadays or the strong America? Is it possible to actually the victory any of the parties at this stage of development of a civilization? Why only Russians do not wish in their mass humbly to accept the consumerist values of the West and in what the Russian idea should consist?

The United States against Russia. Whether everything is so obvious here?

Chapter 1

Who is right: Westerners or isolationists?

That's really for 300 years, since Peter I, Russia aims to become as the rightful European power, believing that if it is "wedged" into Europe geographically, it has right on this, forgetting that till XVIII century Russia was provincial east country and it didn't take part in any European affairs.

As a result, it didn't turn out anything at Russia, except visibility. Russia was only used: at first – by Germans and the Austrians, then – by British, and then – by all together, led by the USA. How many the Russian people were killed in wars absolutely unnecessary for Russia knows only one God.

I mean under the term "the Russian people" mix of Slavs, Ugro-Finnish, Turki and other nationalities formed during many hundreds of years on immense open spaces of Russia from the Carpathians to Kuriles and from the tundra to Central Asia, who have perceived not necessarily customs of the Slavs, but obligatory – Russian language and the Russian culture.

It seems, practice showed for a long time the full idiocy of aspiration of Russia to rightful cooperation with the Anglo-Saxon world assuming Russians as plebeians, gun meat, their highest layer – as selling and the despicable, but considering of themselves – as inspired divinely, which are only capable to manage by the rest world. Only here the Anglo-Saxon world controls this world by means of promises, direct deception, enslaving loans, exclusive arrangements, an open robbery, gunboats, bribery of governors of other countries, inclined to corruption, etc.

But Russia still cannot calm down and realize the situation. She again recently made another attempt to join the "high" European civilization. This happened in 1991. The result we all know: Russia, as always, was deceived, its infrastructure largely destroyed, the population began to die out, the persons of administration turned into a vile compradors, exporting abroad accumulated over all the years of Russian wealth and natural resources, dividing it all together beforehand and decided to myself that if not the country, so at least we'll move with families with stolen money into "happy" West in any case.

By low literacy levels, they do not know that similar has happened near 1917: a lot of "smart guys" moved their capital abroad even before the October Revolution, and their capitals were no small, in terms of current dollars they were in many cases billions. However, with the minor exception, by 1929 all of these capitals disappeared somewhere, somewhere were being dissolved completely by legitimate way. The West does not recognize strangers and fleecing them as soon as possible, without sovereign support.

Some awareness of deeds came to the Russian authorities not so long ago, but whether late? And what the swindlers of all colors who sat down at all levels of control of Russia can make even something good, if only, in the manner of Peter I, not to beat them up to death for bribes?

Anglo-Saxons, having a higher level of consciousness than Russian, which they were accumulating for about the millennium in permanent development and what allowed them to create the most strong, technically equipped, educated civilization with the initiative population, nevertheless, strongly don't hold out to the level of consciousness in case of which it is a shame to plunder feeble for the sake of own short-time – private life is too short – prosperity. And this prosperity is rather doubtful, judging by the continuous degradation of Western society, completely having forgotten of precepts of Christ at the hypocritical church services and praise to the Divine stranger, replacing its own population by alien Arabs, Africans, etc. However, they claim that everything is correct because goes according to objective laws of social development, but in fact, they reduce the practical activities by the most primitive way to the right of the strong.

Naturally, false values couldn't but lead the West to process of degradation which was manifested in it in recent years by the most menacing way.

Individualism, aspiration to personal wellbeing by all means, up to deception of others, less developed peoples, the hypocritical democracy which is reduced not to creation of opportunities for implementation by people of really their free aspirations, but leading them to models in advance selected and prepared by elite which, for example, in the USA provide a choice only from two, in fact, for the people of identical candidates now, who, naturally, serve not to the people, but only to elite. Individualism, deception and hypocrisy always finally lead to crash the society infected with them.

Substitution of true values by the false makes senseless of each specific life, doing out of live, free under initial essence people, the dummies moving by certain rules: they are, however, sleek, protected, satisfied with it and they despise of all remaining, not entering in their circle. But such life doesn't allow to develop in them to truly free aspirations because liberty assumes, first of all, not independence, but, at least, respect, help, sympathy to the near and to the far without what the person is lost: assumes collaboration for the public goodness without exception.

The famous philosopher of the last decades Alexander Zinoviev wrote about this phenomenon, which he called as the Westernism, so: "The meaning of life of westernoids (citizens of the West) was reduced eventually to two points: 1) to achieve the highest standard of life or at least to retain the reached level; 2) to get the maximum personal liberty, independence from people around as well as personal security. The first aspiration does the person as the pragmatic, the second – pushes him on self-isolation ... The problem "To be or to have?" the Westernism decided in favor "to Have" ... Coldness and restraint, indifference to destiny of the neighbor, a deficit of "warm-heartedness", loneliness, feeling of uselessness ..." is a consequence of superhuman relations [1].

Simple Russian people, though and has lagged behind in development of consciousness on some hundreds of years from Europeans because of medieval "hibernation" up to Peter I's era, but, nevertheless, owing to the reasons explained below, didn't lose conscience polls and, except of managers tempted with the power and its privileges with their spongers, don't allow itself to rob the weak and to scoff over the hapless under the pretext of the help as it is done by Europe together with America hourly with the remaining world.

At the same time, the constant aspiration of Russia to Europe wasn't completely fruitless. Russia much that borrowed at more developed and enterprising Europeans of the useful for all branches of the economy, military affairs, imbibed in itself the best achievements of the European culture and gradually reached level of the superpower, about what, for example, Germany dreamed, but "teeth broke off".

So that Russian Westerners, A. I. Herzen and P. Ya. Chaadayev are right regarding need of aspiration of Russia to the western cultural and technological values, but deeply are mistaken in the person of their liberal successors concerning reception of Russia as the equivalent partner in association of the leading countries of the world. Practice shows this daily.

Also and isolationists, successors of Slavophiles, supporters of a special Russian way, are partially right when claim that Russia in the root is not such as other countries, and can achieve alone much.

Конец ознакомительного фрагмента.

Текст предоставлен ООО «ЛитРес».

Прочитайте эту книгу целиком, [купив полную легальную версию](#) на ЛитРес.

Безопасно оплатить книгу можно банковской картой Visa, MasterCard, Maestro, со счета мобильного телефона, с платежного терминала, в салоне МТС или Связной, через PayPal, WebMoney, Яндекс.Деньги, QIWI Кошелек, бонусными картами или другим удобным Вам способом.