


3D

DRAWING



ARTIST FARIT

Artist Farit

3D drawing. Tutorial 3D drawing

http://www.litres.ru/pages/biblio_book/?art=51689451

ISBN 9785449846464

Аннотация

This is the first book in the 3D Drawing course. For beginner artists and professionals, wide range of readers. The course is very informative and understandable. The book sets out the theoretical foundations and practical examples of projections and perspectives of 3D drawing. The process of drawing a 3D picture is explained on the simplest and most understandable examples. Each stage of the drawing process is illustrated by a separate illustration with a detailed description of this stage.

Содержание

Introduction	7
Errors in 3D drawing	19
Parsing errors	26
The second example	31
Next example	40
Next example	45
Конец ознакомительного фрагмента.	49

3D drawing

Tutorial 3D drawing

Artist Farit

Illustrator Farit Artist

Cover designer Farit Artist

Photograph Farit Artist

Translator Google

© Artist Farit, 2020

© Farit Artist, illustrations, 2020

© Farit Artist, cover design, 2020

© Farit Artist, photos, 2020

© Google, translation, 2020

ISBN 978-5-4498-4646-4

Created with Ridero smart publishing system

Artist Farit

3D DRAWING

Detailed course 3D drawing

Volume One.

The basics.

Table of contents

Introduction.

Errors in 3D drawings.

3D drawing on paper.

3D drawing on the pavement.

Instructions on how to photograph a 3D drawing.

Conclusion



The story of one of my 3D drawings.

This drawing lasted only one day on the stairs of the shopping center. One woman, seeing the drawing, was frightened, but

when she looked closely, she laughed. Later, one of the visitors was more seriously afraid, and complained to the director of the shopping center. The director said – Remove the drawing, I do not need heart attacks on the stairs of my shopping center.

Introduction

Keep learning. Learn more about computers, about crafts, gardening – about anything. Never leave your brain lazy. “An idle brain is the devil’s workshop.” And the name of the devil is Alzheimer.

George Carlin.

At a fairly late age, I learned to draw 3D drawings. I learned on my own, not finding instructions in books or on the Internet. I came up with a very simple and visual way to learn how to draw 3D drawings. The course teaches an understanding of how to draw a 3D drawing. Detailed explanations of the fundamentals and principles, thoughtful exercises, will help readers master the secrets of the art of 3D drawing.

The book is intended for a wide range of readers. The book will be useful to novice artists, and experienced. Errors in building the perspective of 3D drawing I have met even experienced artists. If you draw at a professional level, the book will help you deepen your perspective building skills.

The course may be of interest to teachers of geometry, drawing and drawing of secondary schools, drawing schools, colleges and higher educational institutions. 3D drawing lessons will help to make drawing, sketching and geometry lessons more

interesting for teenagers, youth and students. The course will be useful for the development of spatial, geometric thinking. For a better understanding of geometry. To improve spatial vision and imagination.


My book is a good way to interest geometry, adolescents and youth. Learning to draw a 3D drawing, a good way in a fun way, explain some of the laws of geometry. An interesting intellectual occupation, which can captivate adolescents and youth.

The course may be of interest to parents of adolescents and children. Joint development of the ability to draw 3D drawings is a good activity for spending family time. Occupation useful for the development of the brain of children, adolescents and parents. To distract teenagers and children from computer games and gadgets.


The course will be useful even to parents of 6—7 year old children. The sooner you show your child what perspective and geometry are, the better. I began to draw “voluminous” (NOT 3D) drawings in kindergarten, aged 5—6 years. Then I did not understand what geometry or perspective is. The kindergarten teacher showed me one small “trick” how to make “voluminous” drawings from my flat drawings such as “side view”.

I am many years old; this lesson in kindergarten was a very long time ago. I don't remember the details, but I well


remember what effect the conversion of my “flat” drawings into “voluminous” had on me. It was like an insight. Thanks to this effect, I remember that case. Perhaps that lesson, with a little “trick”, started the process of mastering and understanding geometry in my brain. After that “lesson”, I drew “flat” objects and turned them into “voluminous” ones. For me it was one of the most interesting activities.



Reconstruction of my children’s drawings, such as “side view”.



The kindergarten teacher showed me a little “trick”. She drew to my “flat” drawings, segments of the same length, and in one direction.



The teacher connected the ends of the painted segments. And a “miracle” happened! “Flat” cars and the house became “voluminous”.

Preschoolers should watch similar lessons. If they have a predisposition to spatial vision, it will be very useful and interesting for them. Unless they are predisposed to drawing and geometry, they will not suffer from these lessons.

Perhaps if the kindergarten teacher did not show me this “trick”, I would not be able to master the 3D-drawing myself and write this guide on the basics of 3D-graphics at an older age.

The brain in childhood is particularly tuned to learning. The first, main and main goal of the children’s brain is learning. Learning, everything that will help to survive in the race for survival, in conditions of natural selection. Therefore, children can quickly learn a second, non-native language. Learning a second language for adults is very difficult.

Surely, both the basics of perspective and the beginning of geometry, children can master in childhood better than later,

as adults.

My “voluminous” drawings in childhood were not 3D drawings. 3D drawing is a bit more complicated than simple “three-dimensional” drawings. The prospect of “volumetric” drawings, in childhood, was not central, but axonometric, or parallel to oblique projection.

This type of perspective was used in drawing and painting before the central perspective was discovered and mastered during the Renaissance.

The axonometric (parallel, oblique) perspective was used in Byzantine and Old Russian painting. The Chinese and Japanese also used axonometric perspective in their paintings. Perhaps because an axonometric perspective is the easiest to understand perspective.

If young children themselves cannot master the prospect, they will be able to be proud (and show off) of their parents who can draw 3D drawings. There is such a good saying – “Do not raise a child, he will still become like you, educate yourself.” Therefore, advice to parents of young children – Learn to draw! Children looking at you will also learn.

3D drawing is not only an interesting and developing activity for free time (hobby), but also an interesting work. Being able

to draw a 3D drawing, you can draw advertising (commercial) or entertaining 3D drawings. Having spent about 10 dollars on a book, you can earn ten times more. A small 3D drawing of medium complexity can cost from 250—500 dollars/euro. Complex and large 3D drawings can cost a lot more.

Thanks to the ability to draw simple 3D drawings, I went to the international street art festival for the cost of just one 3D drawing. Moreover, I traveled abroad of my country, to a fairly large distance. 3000 km one way. Part of the road (2 hours) flew by plane, traveled by train for two nights, and this is only one way. At night I rode on the train, and during the day I walked around the cities, around Moscow, around Riga, along Bremen.

In addition, the organizers of some festivals compensate for the cost of the road, provide accommodation, partially provide food and give out some materials.

Being able to draw a 3D drawing, you can increase the number of views and the number of subscribers to your YouTube channel, or social networks. Many videos with 3D drawing, gaining thousands of views. Some videos with 3D drawing, gaining millions of views.

Drawing 3D, like any intellectual action, very good training and “gymnastics” for the brain. A diversified brain in any direction works more efficiently. Learning to draw 3D drawings,

you will train your brain, and the brain will last you longer. Your brain will remain clarity and health longer.

By drawing 3D drawings, you will postpone the meeting of your Alzheimer, Parkinson and other older satellites for several years.

Drawing a 3D picture on the pavement is also good physical education. Drawing a 3D drawing on the pavement, you have to squat a lot. After drawing my first 3D drawings, my leg muscles were very sore. With a noticeable effort, I sat down and got up from my chair. But constantly drawing, “training”, squatting, the leg muscles got used to the loads and stopped hurting.

About the author.

<https://www.youtube.com/user/ArtistFarit>

<https://www.patreon.com/ArtistFarit>

If during the reading of the book any questions appear, you can ask them in the comments on my videos, on my channel, or on my Patreon page.

If anyone is interested in how I look.




Photo.

Video.


Beethoven, Bodybuilding, Artist Farit.

<https://youtu.be/QwWIYXaLQQA>

I draw geometry not only on three-dimensional drawings, but also on canvas.

Some of my geometric paintings on canvas.

Series of works “Descriptive geometry with oil paints”.




In the left photo.

Work from the series “In the Dream of a Chess Player”. Title. “Excitement before a responsible chess game.”

In the right photo.

Title. “A music lover’s dream, a soft audio cassette that does not erase the magnetic head of a tape recorder.”



In the left photo.


Work from the series “In the Dream of a Chess Player”.

Title. “The debate on the topic – Traces of other civilizations, or a natural phenomenon?”

In the right photo.

Work from the series “In the Dream of a Chess Player”.

Title. “Hermit, loneliness.”



In the left photo.

Work from the series “In the Dream of a Chess Player”.

Title. “3D Chess 2x2”.

In the right photo.

Work from the series “In the Dream of a Chess Player”.

Title. “Hanging Pause.”

Another “little” self-promotion.

I came up with original characters and wrote the story of his adventures.

190 pages. 168 illustrations


The book.

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07VGJL12P>

https://ridero.ru/books/cyber_bike_leo/

If you look at the site of the book and write some feedback, I will be very grateful. Reviews page.

https://ridero.ru/books/cyber_bike_leo/#reviews



Booktrailer

https://youtu.be/xBpH1_t982o

Motorcycle. Adventure. Chase. Risk. Showdown. Revenge.

Adventures of an unusual motorcycle. Exciting motorcycle chase, unique stunts, an unusual final battle. Heroes are constantly on the verge of life and death. This is “action”. But there is no blood and corpses in this “action”, good heroes defeat “bad heroes”, without blood and murder, but only with their wit and intelligence. A book for those who love a motorcycle, or dreams of a motorcycle.

The book has many illustrations. 190 pages. 168 illustrations.

Translated with help of computer translators Google and Promt.

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07VGJL12P>

https://ridero.ru/books/cyber_bike_leo/

My book can be bought on Ridero with delivery to Europe.

If you recommend to those who ride or dream of a motorcycle, who have boy children, or put it on your page/wall, I will be grateful.

Sincerely.

Artist Farit.

www.facebook.com/artist.farit

Errors in 3D drawing

*About how many wonderful discoveries we have
Prepares an Enlightened Spirit
And outliving the son of **ERRORS** is difficult
And the genius of the paradoxes is different.*

Alexander Pushkin.

*Everything in this book may turn out to be **ERROR**.*
Richard Bach.

Parsing perspective errors in 3D drawings, I realized one interesting thing. Parsing perspective errors is much more difficult and longer in time, than drawing the same picture with the correct perspective. Therefore, do not be alarmed by this chapter. Do not be alarmed by these “complex” schemes. This chapter is much more complicated than the rest. Learning to draw the right perspective is much easier than understanding and understanding the mistakes of the wrong perspective.

Drawing 3D drawings, I made a lot of mistakes. Even after I drew about thirty 3D drawings, I was mistaken again and again. On the Internet, I sometimes encounter perspective errors in 3D drawings by other artists.

My mistakes, mistakes of other artists, and encouraged me to write this course on building the perspective of 3D drawing.

The course will be useful to experienced artists who draw 3D drawings. Since I have seen mistakes in building perspectives, even among experienced artists. I am familiar with some of these artists. I hope they don't take offense at me if they recognize their drawings.

All the errors of other artists that I found do not say that I consider myself the smartest or the most talented among all the artists who draw 3D drawings.

I participated in the international street art festival Internationales Street Art Festival in Wilhelmshaven (WHV) northern Germany.

www.streetart-wilhelmshaven.de

The festival was attended by 38 artists. In my humble opinion, I did not even take the 38th place, but approximately 70 or 80th place. The drawings of all other artists were much better made than my drawing.

I do not describe the mistakes of others in order to rise above those who are mistaken. I do this only in order to teach those who want to draw the perspective (geometry) of a 3D drawing correctly on my own and others' mistakes.

“An artist, everyone can offend,”

Not everyone can only – draw.

Igor Ohrimenko

It's easy to offend the artist,

To call a poet a fool.

But the boxer is difficult —

Boxers, guys, well done!

(found online)

Like any creative person, I am not unambiguous in criticism. Here, I myself am forced to act as a critic.

BUT! I do not criticize the artistic merits and demerits of the drawings. I criticize only the prospect. Artistic qualities cannot be evaluated objectively. As they say – They don't argue about tastes. One likes one, the other likes the other.

In this chapter, I criticize only the wrong perspective of 3D drawings. Perspective is geometry. Geometry is a branch of mathematics – the queen of sciences. Geometry has laws, and these laws are not subjective, they are objective. The laws of geometry do not depend on tastes. The laws of geometry do not depend on whether you know these laws or not. The laws of perspective do not depend on whether you like these laws or not. The laws of geometry do not change from whether you draw according to these laws or draw without observing the laws of perspective (geometry).

There are exceptions to perspective laws. An exception is the reverse perspective on some icons in Byzantine and Old Russian icon painting. Rather, this is not even an exception, but a rare special case based on the peculiarities of human perception of a part of space very close to the eyes. **But!** To make exceptions, you better know the rules.

In order not to violate copyright laws, I will not use photographs of other people's drawings in the book. Of course, the most striking "mistakes" will be hard not to recognize. But most of the drawings with errors in the future, it will not be easy to find out. I outlined only the contours of the erroneous details of these figures. I redrawn, preserving the distortion of perspective that I noticed in these figures. Without retaining most of the details, and even slightly changing the picture.

The essence of this chapter is not to indicate to a particular artist his mistakes. The essence of this chapter is to show the most common mistakes in building the perspective of 3D drawings.

So, let's begin. Let's start with the obvious mistakes. I hope everyone sees these errors. It is strange that the artists themselves did not see them.

But! This is apparently a feature of 3D drawing. Or a feature of the brain's perception of a 3D pattern. I also saw many of my mistakes only the next day, and even two days after I drew the picture. Apparently, this is how the human brain works. When I wrote this book, I did not immediately see my mistakes in the illustrations. Sometimes I noticed errors in the illustrations in a day or two, with a fresh mind. I corrected some illustrations from 3 to 5 times. I corrected it after I decided that this was no longer a draft, but a complete illustration. No wonder there is a saying – Good thinking, comes afterwards. This saying

illustrates very well the process of finding errors in a 3D drawing.

Besides. It may well be that all the “mistakes” I noticed by other artists, not mistakes at all. Perhaps all of these drawings were so conceived. Artists (I know for myself) are a peculiar people, and they love everything unusual. Perhaps this is such an artistic device? Maybe all the “mistakes” I saw are a kind of surrealism, intentional distortions of perspective (geometry), or such a vision of artists. And I just did not understand their plan, and took this for a mistake?

It may also be that the customer chose the photo for the drawing, and insisted on that particular photo, the customer was the buyer. And the buyer, as is always known, is RIGHT.

The customer does not always understand what will happen if a photograph with inappropriate shooting conditions (inappropriate perspective, geometry) is simply transferred to the drawing. A photograph taken at a different angle, or taken from a different distance, cannot be correctly transferred to the drawing. Definitely will be visible distortion in perspective.

Itself faced a similar situation. I was once offered to draw something like that. I refused. I can't draw if the result is an obvious and very noticeable error in perspective, in the geometry of the drawing.

Perhaps, and even for certain, the mistakes of perspective, not all viewers will see or notice. But you must admit, it is better to draw without errors than to hope that not everyone sees the mistakes of perspective.

The most common mistake that I saw was the incorrect construction of the perspective of the picture. One of the signs of such errors is the discrepancy between the horizon of the site and the horizon of the drawn 3D drawing. Simply put, we look at a drawing from one angle, and the photograph (from which painted) was taken from a different angle.

Such perspective errors are obtained because many artists draw a 3D drawing without building a projection according to the laws of perspective. They transfer the drawing on the asphalt in the cells from the photograph, or from their preliminary sketch. From a photograph taken from a different angle, or from a different distance. From a sketch that is also drawn “by eye”, and not by a method of constructing a projection according to the laws of perspective.

In order for the 3D drawing made from the photograph to work out correctly, the distance to the object and the angle of shooting of this object must absolutely exactly coincide with the viewer’s angle of view of the drawn 3D drawing, and with the distance from the viewer to the drawing. But this is not always the case. This condition is very difficult to observe if you don’t know from what distance and at what angle the object that you want to draw from this photograph is photographed.

If you want to draw from a photograph. It is possible to avoid mistakes in the perspective of the picture only if you yourself are photographing the object you need. If you are photographing an

object from that distance, and at the angle from which the 3D drawing will be viewed and photographed.

If the shooting angle of the photo does not coincide with the angle of view in the 3D drawing, distortion of perspective is obtained. If the distance from which the subject was photographed does not coincide with the distance from which the picture is photographed, distortion of perspective is obtained.

In order not to make such mistakes, it is advisable to draw a 3D drawing, having previously built the projection according to the laws of perspective (geometry). How to build a projection correctly, I will explain it in detail and in detail, and show you step by step in this course.


What should I do if the customer of the picture insists on a certain photo, or if the artist himself likes a certain photo and the photo has an inappropriate perspective? You can preconstruct a projection of objects with the correct perspective, and then superimpose on this projection, details and texture of the photo or sketch.

In everything else, except for the perspective, the drawings cited as an example are well drawn (in my humble opinion).

Parsing errors

*Only he who does nothing is not mistaken.
Proverb.*

The first example.




This is my drawing from a photograph of a 3D drawing of a watch. I didn't distort the watch like that; it looks exactly like that in the photograph. It may very well be that there are similar oblate, vertically compressed watches, with a dial in the form of an ellipse. Watches with different sizes

of numbers. Personally, I have not seen such a watch. Perhaps such a distortion of the clock was conceived in the plot of the picture. Perhaps this is just such an artistic device. Perhaps I do not know and did not understand the plan, or the plot.

I “saw” the error in building the perspective in this figure, and decided to make out why the clock could have turned out to be of such an “irregular” shape. For me, this is one of the good examples where you can parse the perspective errors of a 3D drawing.

This watch is beautifully painted in terms of painting. Colors, shadows, highlights, reflections, all is well! BUT! With very large violations of perspective (geometry).

The picture is probably made from a photograph. Perhaps the photo was not stretched vertically, or not squeezed horizontally to make the dial look like a circle.




In the left picture is the original.

In the right picture.

It was this pattern of watches that I stretched vertically and squeezed horizontally. Made the dial look more like a circle. Even in this case, the correct, normal perspective (geometry) of the picture does not work out. The watch seems to be standing on one left (for us) leg and tilted (falling) towards the viewer.

Why, in my humble opinion, such a mistake was made.

If the subject is photographed from the top point, then in the figure it will look as if we are looking at it from above. But! We look at the drawing on the asphalt not vertically from above, but almost horizontally from the side. The photograph of the clock by which this picture was drawn was not taken at the same angle from which viewers look at the clock pattern.




This figure shows why such a distortion of the perspective of the picture occurs.

In the picture to the left.

The angle and distance from the clock to the camera while taking a preliminary photo. In the photograph on which the drawing was drawn, the clock is photographed almost vertically from above, from a short distance. About the small distance between the clock and the camera, says a big difference in the sizes of the numbers 12 and 6. In the picture to the right.

The angle and distance from the picture to the camera while shooting the picture. In the drawing, viewers look and take pictures, almost from a horizontal direction, but see the clock as if they were looking at the clock from above. Therefore, there are similar distortions of the perspective (geometry) of the 3D drawing.



In the left picture.

Original watch stretched to a round dial.

In the right picture.

Something like this might look like a clock, if first, correctly build the perspective of the clock. Another thing is that it would be problematic to draw such a height, such a size clock. Because

the drawing would turn out almost to the horizon. Perhaps this is why the picture was squeezed vertically?

Criticism is only fruitful then
when she, in condemnation, indicates that
what should be that which is bad.

Lev Tolstoy.

Following the advice of Leo Tolstoy, I criticize fruitfully,
I point out what should be that which is bad.

How to avoid a similar mistake in drawing perspectives.

The first way.

Pre-build the projection of the clock. On this correct
projection, apply details, colors, shadows, highlights, reflections
from those watches whose photographs were liked by the
customer or the artist himself.

The second way to avoid this error.


Take a picture of the watch yourself at the right angle and
from the right distance.

How to draw such a clock correctly will be very clear and
clearly shown in this course. Or in one of the lessons on my
Patreon page.

<https://www.patreon.com/ArtistFarit>


A chapter in a book or exercise on Patreon will be called
“A Cylinder Lying Horizontally.”

The second example




Pool, and a man sitting on a raft. The picture is clearly drawn from the photograph. The photograph of the pool was not taken at the same angle from which we look at the drawing of this pool. Therefore, I “see” that the surface of the sides of the pool and the surface of the water in the pool are not parallel to the surface on which the drawing is drawn. The pool in the picture is located, under a strong slope from us. Unless of course, this pool has a rectangular shape. If the pool is drawn in the form of a trapezoid, then it is drawn correctly. I have never seen pools in the form of a trapezoid, but this does not mean that such pools

do not exist. Exceptions, as you know, confirm the rule. I decided that a rectangular pool was drawn, but with errors in perspective. For me, this is another example where you can show why you get such errors in drawing the perspective of a 3D drawing.



This pattern is drawn on rectangular tiles. Due to the presence of seams between the plates, we can find the horizon of the site on which this picture is drawn. We continue the seams of rectangular plates until they intersect with each other. So we find the horizon of the platform on which the pool is drawn.




In the left picture.

The original drawing with the painted horizon of the site.
In the right picture.

Search for the horizon of the original pool photo. From the lines of the side edges of the pool we can find the horizon of the original photograph of this pool. The horizon in the photograph with which the pool was drawn is located at the intersection of the sides of the pool. We continue the line of side sides of the pool. The continuation of the sides intersects on the horizon. The horizon of the original photograph in which this drawing was drawn is at the height of the shoulders of the seated person.

It can be seen that the horizon of the site and the horizon of the drawn pool do very much not coincide. Therefore, similar errors are obtained in the perspective of the drawing.




In the left picture.

Conditions for shooting the photograph with which they drew the pool. The photograph of the pool (according to which this picture is drawn) was taken from a lower height. A photograph of the pool was taken from the height of the shoulders of a seated

person.

In the right picture.

Conditions for shooting the picture. We look at the figure from the height of human growth (a standing person). Therefore, it seems that the pool is not parallel to the surface on which it is painted. But even if you sit down and look at the picture from a small height, this error can no longer be corrected.




In the left picture.

Original drawing with non-coincident horizons of the site and drawing.

In the right picture.

An example of what this pool should look like if you draw it in compliance with the laws of perspective (geometry). The continuation of the side sides of the pool intersect on the horizon line of the site. Correcting the geometry of objects is relatively simple. It is especially simple to correct (and draw) the perspective (geometry) of rectangular objects. Unfortunately, the “geometry” of a seated person is more difficult to fix. The person

(just redrawn from the photo), in the right figure, turned out to be tilted back. Since the horizon of the photograph of a person remained in the same place, at the height of his shoulders. To correct the perspective of the “geometry” of a person, you need to photograph a person from the right distance, at the right angle, from the right height.




In the left picture.

Original drawing with non-coincident horizons of the site and drawing. In the right picture.

The original drawing of the pool is left, while a new platform is drawn, the horizon of which coincides with the horizon of the pool. The photograph on which this drawing was drawn looked something like this. A pool with its horizon coinciding with the horizon of the site looks more correct. In my humble opinion.

The discrepancy between the real horizon and the horizon in the figure can sometimes be understood. Example: An artist paints a hole (pit, mainsail) in asphalt. In hole, the artist draws

his own, separate horizon of the picture, different from the real horizon of the site. This kind of dips (grottoes) with its own horizon, a very common and popular form of 3D drawing.




In the left picture is the original.

In the right picture.

A possible version of the picture with a dip (pit) in the plates. In a dip, behind a person's back, one can see his own horizon of this drawing. The pool is located in a dip, very peculiar. The pool side closest to us lies at the site level, and the far (rear) side of the pool lie well below the site level.

If there is no second artificial horizon in the drawing, the perspective of the drawing should be oriented to the real horizon. Then the picture will not look strange in terms of the laws of perspective.

But this is not the only mistake in the perspective of this picture.




In the left picture.

The distance of taking a preliminary photograph of the pool. The distance from which the pool was photographed is small. You can understand this by comparing the sizes of the near (front) and far (rear) sides of the pool in the photo. The larger on photograph the difference in the length of the front and rear sides of the pool, the smaller the distance from which the pool was photographed.

In the right picture.

The distance the picture was taken. The distance from which they look at the picture more. You can understand this by comparing the sizes of the near (front) and far (rear) sides of the pool in the photo. The smaller the difference in the length of the front and rear sides of the pool, the more the pool was photographed from a greater distance.



If you draw a pool (in the right picture) less in the depth of the

picture, as deep as in the original picture, the difference in the distances to the camera will increase even more.

Never point out errors
if you don't know how to fix them.

Bernard Shaw

Following the advice of Bernard Shaw.

I point out errors, knowing how to fix them, or how to prevent such mistakes.

How to correctly build the perspective of such drawings, step by step, and will be available in one of the next books of this course. Or in one of the lessons on my Patreon page.

<https://www.patreon.com/ArtistFarit>


In the chapter or exercise “Parallelepiped’s’.

These were drawings with obvious errors in the perspective, which, I hope, can be seen by all, or most viewers, most beginners and experienced artists.

Further there will be less noticeable errors in building the perspective of the picture. I deliberately did not draw the details of these drawings, so that it was harder to recognize. In order not to offend the artists who made these mistakes. These are very talented artists, I have not yet learned how to draw like them.


But I saved the distortion of perspective in the form in which the original drawings had them.

Next example




Many may say that this cube is drawn correctly. In my humble opinion, this cube is drawn from a photograph. The horizon of the photograph on which this cube was drawn is much lower than the horizon of the platform on which this drawing is drawn. Therefore, I get the impression that the cube is very distorted. In my humble opinion, a preliminary photograph of this cube was taken from a lower point, and from a closer distance than the viewer looks at this picture. Therefore, the top face of the cube, I see not parallel to the floor surface. In my opinion, the top face

of the cube is strongly inclined from the viewer.




To prove my point, a rectangular tile on the floor in this room helps me. We continue the seams of the rectangular tiles, and find the horizon of this site.



Now we find the horizon of the original photograph of the cube from which this drawing was made. The horizon of the cube (parallelepiped) can be found by continuing the horizontal sides of the cube if these sides are on the surface of the site or parallel to the surface of the site. Continue the horizontal sides of the

cube until they intersect with each other. The continuation of the horizontal sides of the cube intersects at the horizon line of the photograph with which the cube was drawn. As you can see the horizon of the site and the horizon of the photo of the cube do much not coincide.




The top picture is the original.

In the bottom picture.

The cube is drawn so that its horizon coincides with the horizon of the site. In this case, the top face of the cube looks parallel to the floor surface of the room. Something like this should look like a cube drawn according to the laws

of perspective (geometry), and not according to a photograph. Try to quickly look from the top picture to the bottom picture. Distortion of perspective becomes apparent. In any case, I can see.



The top picture is the original.

In the bottom picture.

The original cube is left, but a new area is drawn with the horizon matching the horizon of the cube. This is what the photograph used to draw this cube looked like.

There remains one POSSIBLE “mistake” – the mismatch

between the distance from which the cube was photographed and the distance from which the viewer looks at the picture. That is why I have the impression that the near corner of the base of the cube is not straight, but sharp. Such a “mistake” can be obtained because the cube was photographed from a closer distance than the viewer looks at the drawing of the cube.


This possible “mistake” could be easily verified if the seams of the rectangular tile were parallel to one of the sides of the base of the cube. But in this figure, the seams of the tile are not parallel to the sides of the base of the cube, and it is not possible to check this possible error. Also, this possible “mistake” could be checked if the plates on the floor were square, but the plates on the floor of this room were not square, but rectangular.

How to draw a cube and the shadow of a cube correctly will be described and illustrated in detail in of this course. Or in one of the lessons on my Patreon page.


<https://www.patreon.com/ArtistFarit>

In the chapter or exercise “Parallelepipeds.”


Next example



A large cube made of small cubes. In terms of painting, the cube is drawn wonderfully. From a perspective point of view, the cube is drawn with small errors.




The cube is drawn on a rectangular paving slab. Continuing the seams of the tiles, we find the horizon line of this site. Tile seams intersect at the site's skyline.



Continuing the horizontal sides of the cube, we find the horizon of the original photo (or sketch) of the cube. The horizon of the site and the horizon of the photograph, or the sketch on which the drawing was drawn, do not match. The discrepancy of the horizon lines is insignificant, but noticeable.

The discrepancy between the horizon line of the site and the horizon of the drawn object is not the only way to prove that the cube is drawn with slight violations of the laws of perspective.

In this photograph there is further evidence that the drawing is drawn from a photograph, or from a sketch. But not a way to build a projection.



Look at the right angle of the paving slabs and the “right angle” of the bottom face of the cube. Agree that all the corners of the bottom face of the cube should be straight (90 degrees). Unless, of course, a cube is drawn in this figure, and not a prism with a base in the form of a rhombus or parallelogram. The closest to us (and any) corner of the base of the cube should be straight. What do we see in the picture (and in the picture of the picture)? The pavement tile is rectangular, of which I have no doubt. It turns out that for the drawn cube, the angle closest to us is not

a straight line, not 90 degrees. It can be assumed that the near corner does not lie on the surface, but hangs above the surface. In this case, under the cube, there should be a shadow. There is no shadow in the figure, which means that a cube is drawn that lies on the surface with its entire base.

Why do you get such errors?

First reason.

The cube is photographed (or drawn) at an angle slightly different from the viewing angle of the picture.

Second reason.

The photograph of the cube was also taken from a different distance that does not coincide with the distance from the drawing to the viewer. Or the sketch was drawn “by eye” without taking into account the laws of perspective.

The following figure shows three options for the relationship, the distance from which the 3D drawing of the cube was photographed, and the distance from the camera to the cube in the preliminary photograph or sketch.

Конец ознакомительного фрагмента.

Текст предоставлен ООО «ЛитРес».

Прочитайте эту книгу целиком, [купив полную легальную версию](#) на ЛитРес.

Безопасно оплатить книгу можно банковской картой Visa, MasterCard, Maestro, со счета мобильного телефона, с платежного терминала, в салоне МТС или Связной, через PayPal, WebMoney, Яндекс.Деньги, QIWI Кошелек, бонусными картами или другим удобным Вам способом.